# Procedures and Criteria for Faculty Evaluations School of Music (revised 2021)

Introduction	1
Areas of Evaluation	
Preparation for Evaluation	
Criteria for Evaluation	5
Faculty positions requiring special definition.	

# **Introduction**

# **Purposes of This Document**

This document serves as the guide to School of Music faculty in the evaluation process and is designed to serve both the evaluee and those undertaking the evaluation. It should be viewed as a complement to the criteria and procedures for tenure and promotion that are detailed in the Faculty Code (or, the Code). All faculty should review the Code, especially Chapters III and IV, prior to a review as well as the relevant sections in the most recent version of the *Faculty Evaluation Criteria & Procedures* memorandum, published annually by the Professional Standards Committee (especially the section titled "Information for Faculty Being Evaluated").

The regular evaluation of faculty serves to improve the quality of instruction, encourage professional growth and excellence, and provide a record of sustained engagement in our academic community. All resident, full-time, continuing faculty normally participate in the evaluation of colleagues when decisions are made regarding promotion, tenure, three-year evaluations of assistant professors, and full reviews at the three-year associate and five-year professor levels.

The evaluation is based on:

- Class visitation(s)
- Review of the evaluee's file
- Review of scholarly, creative, and/or artistic work
- In the case of applied faculty and conductors, assessment of public performances given by the evaluee, students of the evaluee, or ensembles conducted by the evaluee
- Discussion with colleagues during the deliberative meeting in which a departmental recommendation is reached

Evaluation of peers is a process fundamental to any system of self-governance. It is complex, inviting a variety of files that, in music, chronicle the unique talents, contributions, and expertise of performers, scholars, conductors, composers, and educators. Every evaluee should review these guidelines with the head officer early in an evaluation period and especially prior to preparing a review file. Normally these meetings include a review of these departmental procedures in the first semester of the first year in a tenure-line or continuing appointment, meetings in the Spring terms prior to submitting first and second-year reviews, and meetings in late Spring or Summer prior to third-year Assistant, tenure & promotion, or promotion reviews. Evaluees in the early stages of their careers at Puget Sound are also encouraged to take advantage of both formal and informal mentoring.

While principally a guide to evaluation procedures, this document may also serve as a guide to assist faculty in their development as teachers, in their professional growth, and as participating members of the university community. In this regard, the substantial details in each section are not "to do" lists; instead, they attempt to capture the breadth of activities, undertakings, and measurements of accomplishment that define the work of music faculty in their respective sub-disciplines.

It is the responsibility of the head officer to see that the procedures are followed as outlined.

As stated in the Code, it is the responsibility for each evaluee to demonstrate that they meet the standards for tenure or promotion (Chapter III, section 3d).

In addition to the criteria and methods of evaluation delineated in the Code, the School of Music recognizes the role of subjective professional judgment in the evaluation of colleagues for retention and advancement. While decisions rest on the assessment of achievement within the context of this university, established standards and expectations of the discipline at large must also apply.

#### About the School of Music

As a school of music at a liberal arts institution, we offer both liberal arts (Bachelor of Arts) and professional degrees (Bachelor of Music with tracks in performance, music education, and elective studies in business). We are equally committed to training students for successful careers in music and to the study of music as a subject in the liberal arts.

The School of Music strives to be an integral part of the university and to complement the institution's commitment to a liberal education by offering students opportunities to participate in:

- A major or minor in music as a part of the traditional liberal arts curriculum, or as part of a self-designed interdisciplinary program
- Ensembles according to each student's abilities and interests
- Instrumental and vocal instruction in the classroom or studio
- Courses designed to appeal to a wide variety of students to instill in them an appreciation for, and an understanding of, the art of music.

The School of Music offers students thorough professional preparation through curricula in the following areas:

- Music education
- Solo, chamber, and ensemble performance
- Music business and management
- Preparation for further study as composers, music theorists, conductors, music librarians, musicologists, and professions in music-related fields

### **Areas of Evaluation**

Each member of the music faculty has a unique place within the structure of the School. Therefore, it is necessary that the evaluation process recognize this uniqueness and that the particularity of the evaluee's subdiscipline form the basis for evaluation. New faculty receive outlines of their responsibilities in their initial contracts, and all faculty are informed annually in writing by the head officer of their duties in teaching and departmental committees for the academic year.

- 1. **Teaching**. In the area of teaching, there are some duties unique to the discipline of music. While some music classes fall into traditional lecture and discussion formats, studio and composition lessons, chamber music coaching, ensemble conducting, and skills classes depend on different modes of instruction and interaction with students. The course evaluation forms used by the university have been modified to speak to these instructional differences.
- 2. **Professional growth** is defined in terms of activities which are relevant to an evaluee's expertise and contribute to the development of the art or discipline of music, the music profession, or one's own artistic, scholarly, or creative growth. Evaluation is based on evidence of those varied activities as documented in the evaluee's file and, in the case of performance, on attending performances given by the evaluee.

- **3.** Advising. All tenure-line faculty serve both formally and informally as academic advisors. Faculty perform an important role in advising students about their academic careers. An effective advisor can assist in planning students' courses of study, applying to graduate school, and making career choices. Advising may be both academic and pre-professional, occurring in such formal settings as an advising session designed to choose classes for an upcoming term, or in less formal ways, such as taking a group of students to professional conventions related to their majors.
- 4. Service is defined as those activities which benefit the university, the School of Music, the music profession, or the community in ways that are directly related to a faculty member's professional interests and expertise. This fact will affect the nature of an individual's contribution to the university, the community, and the profession. Recruitment of students is essential and important service to the department for applied faculty and ensemble conductors, who are expected to take both proactive and reactive steps in recruiting students. With regard to student recruitment, faculty in music history, theory, education, and music business handle inquiries specific to their areas from prospective students throughout the year, including during the School of Music Audition Weekend and other on-campus recruitment functions.

It is common in Music to find certain activities overlapping among professional growth, recruitment, and service. It is the responsibility of the evaluee to determine and, if necessary, explain which area best identifies the nature of an activity.

According to the Code, an evaluee must demonstrate excellence in the areas of teaching and professional growth, and present an established record of service to receive tenure. Specific criteria for tenure and promotion, including promotion to full professor, are found in Chapter III, Section 3 of the Code.

The School of Music recognizes that priorities, activities, and expectations change over the course of a faculty member's career, especially in the areas of professional growth and service. While a record of sustained accomplishment is essential in all reviews, the School of Music seeks just as importantly evidence of a clear purpose in an evaluee's present and future development.

# **Preparation for Evaluation**

### Responsibilities of the Evaluee

Prior to an evaluation, the evaluee assembles and makes available to the faculty materials that include the following:

- A statement of professional goals and objectives, both short-term and long-term, including a self-analysis of one's teaching, professional growth, advising, and service, including recruitment
- A curriculum vitae (candidates for full professor must include in the curriculum vitae a complete summary of career service at Puget Sound)
- Copies of course syllabi, examinations, or other pertinent material on all courses evaluated by students (examples: courseware sites, web pages, examples of student work, programs of student recitals)
- Information about professional growth, including copies of relevant materials, audio or video recordings, etc.
- Information concerning successful advising
- Information concerning service to the university, music profession, department (including student recruitment), and the community
- Other material believed to be useful (examples: letters from faculty with whom an evaluee has cotaught or has undertaken substantial projects, letters from professionals who are not faculty members at the University of Puget Sound, concert or book reviews, awards)
- Student evaluations, as per the Code.

### Responsibilities of the Evaluator

- Prior to an evaluation, the evaluator will become familiar with the evaluee's professional objectives and philosophy, teaching, student perceptions of her/his teaching effectiveness, professional growth, advising, and service, including recruitment. This familiarization comes from review of the evaluee's file, observation or review of the evaluee's professional work, review of student evaluations, and observation of classes, studio lessons, or rehearsals.
- Faculty members in their individual letters to the Faculty Advancement Committee are expected to indicate which of the above steps were followed as a basis for their evaluation. These letters, which are submitted to the head officer, address the substance of the file, make comments relative to teaching, professional growth, advising, and service, including recruitment, and make an independent recommendation.
- In evaluations in which there is a change of status (that is, promotion or tenure), all faculty will normally complete at least one observation of teaching within two years prior to or including the tenure and/or promotion review. A minimum of three faculty members, including the Director, must observe the evaluee at least twice during this review period.
- For faculty reviews in which there is no change of status (three-year assistant, full reviews for three-year associate and full professors), three faculty members including the head officer must observe the evaluee's teaching twice during the three semesters up to and including the semester faculty recommendations are due. Observing faculty will be selected by the head officer and the evaluee in the year prior to the evaluation. In the case of disagreement, one observing faculty member will be chosen by the evaluee and one by the Director. Faculty not selected are welcome to observe the evaluee, although they are not required to do so.

### **Evaluation Procedures**

- Normally, procedures follow timelines published annually by the Professional Standards Committee.
- A confidential, deliberative meeting of the resident, continuing faculty, with the exception of the person being evaluated, is held, normally within the timeframe described in the annual memorandum from the Professional Standards Committee. Faculty discuss the work and goals of the evaluee and make a departmental recommendation..
- Following the meeting, the head officer writes a summary, circulates a draft for review by faculty who participated in the deliberative meeting, and provides a copy of the final version to the evaluee. If any substantive changes occur between the initial draft of the departmental letter and its final version, all reviewing faculty will receive a copy of the final version. In the case of a closed file, the head officer will write a summary of letters submitted by the faculty, which will be shared with the evaluating faculty by or before the deliberative meeting. The evaluee will also receive a copy prior to the head officer's submission of the evaluee's completed file to the Provost's office.
- All materials required by the Code are then forwarded to the Faculty Advancement Committee.

# **Criteria for Evaluation**

# Teaching

The School of Music seeks to develop and maintain the highest standards of musicianship in its students, as defined by technical and expressive command of a performance medium (instrumental, vocal, or conducting) and a thorough knowledge of the theoretical and historical aspects of performance and literature on or about music. Students should be exposed to the highest level of musicianship in the concert hall, classroom, studio, and rehearsal room.

Because teaching is the university's primary function, concern for excellence in teaching is the most important single item in an evaluation.

The faculty of the School of Music recognizes there is no simple working definition of teaching excellence that is appropriate for all situations. In reaching a determination of excellence, faculty therefore draw upon a confluence of evidence.

### **Components of Teaching Excellence**

- Clear course objectives
- Courses structured to be challenging and rigorous through assignments, exams, repertoire, or projects appropriate to each course's level and content
- Thorough class, lesson, or ensemble preparation
- Efficient use of class, studio, or rehearsal time
- Ability to relate the subject of a course, rehearsal, or lesson to other courses and disciplines
- Use of creative and engaging ways to present material through traditional means, new technologies, or innovative pedagogy
- Command of the subject
- Ability to communicate effectively
- Enthusiasm for the subject
- Creating a productive and supportive learning environment

### **Evidence of Teaching Excellence**

- A statement of the evaluee's teaching philosophy, including reflections on outcomes and comments on course evaluations
- Course materials submitted as part of the file that demonstrate fulfillment of course goals and objectives

- Course evaluations that indicate a consistent pattern of excellence in such matters as challenging goals, rigorous demands, clear organization, availability of the evaluee, clarity of presentations, and demanding as well as fair evaluation
- Student accomplishments

# **Evaluation of Teaching Excellence**

- Based on evidence provided by the evaluee, and taking into consideration teaching observations
  as described under "Responsibilities of the Evaluator," has the evaluee demonstrated teaching
  excellence?
- Does the evaluee present a clear philosophy of teaching?
- Do course materials reflect clear goals and objectives for each course?
- In addition to observations of classes, rehearsals, and/or studio lessons, student performances (e.g., noon recitals, junior and senior recitals, ensemble concerts, or end-of-term juries) may be taken into account in the assessment of teaching effectiveness, although they do not serve as a substitute for direct observation in the case of a review resulting in a change of status.

### **Professional Growth**

In order to maintain standards of excellence in teaching, professors must remain productive and contributing members of their chosen fields. Just as there are varieties of teaching methods and environments in music, there is variety in the types and dimensions of professional growth. While faculty are often involved and productive in many areas, the relevance of each activity is normally determined by the primary and unique requirements of an evaluee's subdiscipline. Those areas may include:

- Making music
- Advancing the knowledge and understanding of music
- Advancing the teaching and performance of music
- Advancing the profession

It is the responsibility of the evaluee to develop a plan of professional growth and provide evidence in the evaluation file that will demonstrate a record of sustained engagement and accomplishment. This would include:

- Articulation of an ongoing and well-defined program of scholarly, artistic, or creative endeavor
- Evidence that the evaluee remains current in her/his area of specialty
- A record of accomplishment through contributions to the field

The evaluee should review her/his plan of professional growth with the director following an evaluation.

### **Components of Professional Growth**

#### Making Music

- Performances (on and off campus, broadcast, or recorded) as recitalist, soloist, accompanist, ensemble member, composer, conductor, director of staged works, or in a principal stage role, particularly where works new to the performer are involved
- Creation, performance, and/or publication of new compositions, arrangements, transcriptions, or performing editions
- The School of Music recognizes on-campus artistic achievement as an important element of the professional development of applied faculty, ensemble directors, and directors of staged musical works. However, on-campus performance alone is not sufficient to warrant excellence, particularly in those cases where there is substantial overlap of a performance with an evaluee's teaching load.

### Advancing the Knowledge and Understanding of Music

- Publication (authoring, editing, translating) of books, book chapters, monographs, articles, reviews, critical editions, music encyclopedia and dictionary entries, online publications, and other scholarly work
- Collaborative scholarship leading to presentation at professional conferences or publication
- Presentation of papers, compositions, original work, lectures, or performances at professional meetings
- Authoring essays, program notes, or liner notes
- Creation/maintenance of internet-based resources specific to one's specialty or area of research
- Research projects, including archival research
- Pre-concert or concert lectures that require substantive research or the development of original arguments

### Advancing the Teaching and Performance of Music

- Pedagogical and curricular innovations, including those that require new technologies
- Cross-disciplinary projects that lead to new courses, presentations at professional meetings, or publications
- Adjudication for local, state, regional, national, or international organizations
- Presentation of master classes, clinics, or workshops
- Teaching experiences with K-12 students for renewing and updating methods

### Advancing the Profession

- Leadership roles at state, regional, and/or national levels of professional music organizations
- Leadership in scholarly discourse, including chairing sessions, serving on panels at professional meetings and conferences, and moderating online forums
- Organization and/or leadership in seminars, colloquia, workshops, clinics, festivals, professional meetings, symposia, and master classes
- Referee for professional journals
- Referee of manuscripts and proposals for scholarly and trade presses and journals
- Honors, awards, grants, commissions, and other external recognitions of professional achievement, including successful entry in a national or international competition

### Maintaining Currency

- Fulfilling requirements to renew or update Washington State teacher license
- Playing for and soliciting comments from master teachers and peers
- Completing course work relevant to one's teaching assignment
- Studying new literature
- Observing rehearsals, clinics, workshops, adjudications, competitions, festivals, etc.
- Attending professional meetings and conventions
- Membership and participation in meetings of professional organizations

### **Evidence of Excellence in Professional Growth**

- A personal statement which includes a description and assessment of past activities related to professional growth as well as the evaluee's future scholarly, artistic, and/or creative endeavors
- Copies of publications, concert or event programs, reviews, invitations, professional recordings, or any other documentation that demonstrates a record of professional activity and accomplishment
- Any other materials that the evaluee believes essential in providing a record of excellence in professional growth

### **Evaluation of Professional Growth**

In assessing the significance of an evaluee's professional growth, School of Music faculty will form their professional judgments by weighing both the quality and quantity of evidence gathered during the review period. The following questions should be used in forming an opinion of an evaluee's professional growth:

- Does the evaluee's work (topic, relative scope and importance, venue) make a valuable and significant contribution to the field?
- Is the quality of the candidate's work excellent, and is it comparable to that of peers in the discipline at comparable institutions?
- Is there evidence of sustained and sufficient activity in the evaluee's specialty?
- Does the evaluee have the potential for continued excellence?
- Does the evaluee have a clearly articulated plan for future activities?

Depending on the evaluee's area of specialization, activities that carry greater weight may include:

- Refereed publications or solicited contributions to publications
- Performances given by invitation or audition
- Commissions for original works
- Invitations to direct master classes, clinics, or workshops
- Invited or solicited presentations at professional meetings that may include presentation of papers of original research, conducting workshops, lecture recitals or other performances, or serving as a chair for refereed sessions that require significant preparation
- In the case of directing staged works, it is acknowledged that production schedules of most professional theaters limit opportunities for faculty to work outside of the academy during the regular academic year. Therefore, on-campus staged productions will be considered as important, though not exclusive, contributions to the evaluee's professional growth and will be adjudicated by at least one outside expert. The evaluator will submit her/his report to the head officer, who will then provide the evaluee with a copy to include in her/his evaluation file. The letter from the adjudicator will stand as submitted, although the evaluee will have the opportunity to comment on the external evaluation.

### **Advising**

Advising assignments beyond the freshman year are made by the head officer and are typically based on the student's major field of study.

### **Components of Advising**

- Knowledge: Advisors should have a good working knowledge of university curricula, rules, regulations and policies; an in-depth knowledge of curricula in the School of Music; a sufficient awareness of student support offices to make appropriate referrals; and a familiarity with advising resources. Advisors should maintain and be familiar with their advisees' academic records and any other pertinent information provided by the university.
- Openness: Advisors must show a readiness to serve both as formal and informal advisors in assisting music majors and minors.
- Availability: Advisors must make themselves available, to a reasonable degree, to students, especially during pre-registration. The advisor and the advisee should meet regularly throughout the advisee's academic career, and double check all remaining requirements during the junior year. This allows time to plan the remaining semesters without facing a sudden overload situation or a previously missed requirement just before graduation.

# **Evidence Relevant to Advising**

It is the responsibility of the evaluee to demonstrate an awareness of the components of excellent advising and to describe and assess their advising accordingly.

### **Evaluation of Engagement in Advising**

Has the evaluee provided evidence of effective advising? What evidence is used to determine this effectiveness?

### Service

In the process of evaluation, service to the University and service to the community are recognized in the Code (Chapter III, sections 3d and 3e). Like other academic programs, the School of Music also recognizes service to the profession. While it is the responsibility of each member to participate in the area of service, faculty preparing for tenure review should monitor their activities so that they do not diminish accomplishment in teaching and professional growth, both of which require excellence for tenure. Specific criteria for tenure and promotion, including promotion to full professor, are found in Chapter III, Section 3 of the Code.

The School of Music recognizes five different areas of service; examples of activities in each category are listed below. All continuing, full-time faculty are expected to participate in area 1. The Code requires evidence of activity in area 3. Individuals should choose activities from sets 2, 4 and 5 that complement their talents and interests and, when combined, present evidence of sustained involvement in service.

### Area 1: General Departmental Service

- Recruitment and hiring of faculty
- Evaluation of departmental colleagues in scheduled reviews
- Participation in departmental meetings

### Area 2: Specific Departmental Service

- Oversight of an applied area (strings, winds and percussion, voice, choral, music education, and keyboard)
- Recruitment of prospective students (detailed below)
- Service on School of Music committees, either standing or ad hoc
- Participation in other department service activities
- Organization of and participation in on-campus festivals, lectures, performances, and workshops to a degree that is more appropriate for listing as service rather than professional growth
- Performance on secondary instruments or work in areas that are not the evaluee's primary area of professional growth
- Service as a mentor to faculty colleagues

#### Area 3: University Service

- Standing university committees
- Faculty Senate
- Ad hoc committees
- Guest lectures, performances, or presentations to groups or organizations on campus
- Advising campus organizations

# Area 4: Service to Higher Education or the Music Profession

- Membership on committees, either standing or ad hoc, for professional organizations
- Planning committees for professional meetings
- Session chairs at professional meetings that do not require substantial preparation or research

Area 5: Community Service in which the work is related to the evaluee's professional activities, interests, and expertise

- Membership on boards of arts organizations
- Membership or contributions to non-profit organizations
- Ad hoc service for government agencies, citizens' groups, or charitable organizations
- Adjudication that is less time-consuming or demanding than that under professional growth
- Volunteer or educational programs in area schools
- Master classes, clinics, or other related activities presented in the community that are not of the breadth or depth expected for consideration as professional growth

#### **Evaluation of Service**

- Has the evaluee demonstrated sustained involvement in service?
- Beyond the University, has the evaluee given service that is related to her/his professional interests and expertise?

#### Service Related to Student Recruitment

The School of Music views student recruitment, especially by ensemble conductors and applied faculty, as essential service not only to the School of Music but also to the university. Recruitment is a component of service, not a separate category of evaluation.

### **Components of Student Recruitment**

Examples of proactive steps used to develop a pool of applicants and recruit students:

- Initiate personal contact with music teachers, alumni, ensemble directors in schools and youth ensembles, and prospective students
- Organize or direct on-campus clinics and festivals that are designed primarily for student recruitment
- Visit schools to conduct workshops or clinics
- Attend meetings of local or regional teacher organizations
- Attend local or regional high school concerts and productions
- Attend, with appropriate follow-up, performances of high school ensembles at regional music education conferences or music festivals
- Invite prospective students and their teachers to concerts featuring Puget Sound faculty, students, or ensembles

### Examples of reactive steps:

- Respond to inquiries about the School of Music from prospective students, their parents, or teachers
- Attend recruitment functions either on or off campus
- Listen to auditions, both on and off campus, and make decisions on acceptance to the major and student eligibility for music scholarships
- Make recommendations to Financial Aid on sizes of music scholarships
- Work with the Music Admission Coordinator, Director, Office of Admission, and the Office of Student Financial Services in coordinating recruitment efforts
- Maintain contact with admitted applicants until an enrollment decision is made

### **Evidence of Engagement in Student Recruitment**

- A personal statement that describes proactive and reactive involvement in student recruitment
- A list of activities or events attended, led, or participated in specific to student recruitment
- Any other evidence the evaluee believes useful in documenting engagement in student recruitment

#### **Evaluation of Recruitment**

- Has the evaluee provided evidence in her/his personal statement of involvement in and commitment to student recruitment relative to the expectations described under "Areas of Evaluation?"
- Because many external factors may affect yield rates, benchmarks are not used to measure engagement and success in student recruitment. However, a pattern of dropping yield rates in an applied or ensemble faculty member's area of specialty may lead to concern about the evaluee's effectiveness and may require the evaluee to consult with the head officer, Music Admission Coordinator, and/or colleagues to reflect critically on her/his efforts and approach to student recruitment.

# Faculty positions requiring special definition

#### Cordelia Wikarski-Miedel Artist in Residence

The Artist in Residence is a non-tenure-track appointment, subject to renewal on an annual basis, and is evaluated every third year. The Artist in Residence is expected to remain active as a performer, presenting performances both on- and off-campus as well as uphold the standards of teaching specified in the *Faculty Code* for non-tenure-line faculty. While the Artist in Residence is expected to take part in student recruitment and serve on faculty committees as needed in the School of Music, the Artist in Residence is not expected to advise students or participate in service to the University.

### **Professor of Music Education**

This language appears in the *School of Education Departmental Evaluation Standards (2021)* regarding evaluation of the Professor of Music Education.

#### Preface

This document is an addendum to the evaluation processes and criteria established by the school of education for the professor of music education. It is the responsibility of all participants in the evaluation process to review this document together with a) School of Education departmental evaluation criteria, b) the School of Music departmental criteria, c) the provisions of the Faculty Code relating to tenure and promotion, and d) the professional standards committee document entitled *Faculty Evaluation Criteria* and *Procedures*. The evaluee is held to the full evaluation criteria in the School of Music and to the School of Education evaluation criteria stipulated in this addendum.

- II. Participation in the Evaluation Process
- a. First Year and Second Year Evaluations: The Director of the School of Music will conduct the first and second year reviews, with input from the Dean of the School of Education.
- b. Third Year Evaluation, Tenure, and Promotion to Full Professor: The Professor of Music Education will be evaluated by an interdisciplinary committee from the School of Music and School of Education. The committee will include the Director of the School of Music, Dean of the School of Education, three members of the School of Music faculty and two members of the School of Education faculty. The Director of the School of Music will serve as Head Officer. Other faculty members in the School of Music and the School of Education have the right to attend the evaluees' class sessions, to review the evaluation file materials, and can elect to submit an evaluation letter to the Director of the School of Music. The Director of the School of Music and the Dean of the School of Education, in consultation with the evaluee and the Provost, will establish the participants in the evaluation process. Any changes to the participants must be agreed upon in writing by the evaluee and the Provost.
- III. Classroom Observations: For third year, tenure, and promotion evaluations, a minimum of one observation by all members of the committee and an overall minimum of five observations by members of the School of Music and a minimum of four observations by members of the School of Education of the interdisciplinary committee should be completed, preferably overlapping the semesters in which Instructor and Course Evaluation forms are collected.
- IV. Criteria Unique to the Position of Music Education
  This addendum modifies and clarifies evaluation criteria for the joint tenure line position in the School of Music and the School of Education.

The department will apply its normal standards in the evaluation of teaching. Supervision of MAT candidates in local public schools is considered part of the teaching load for this position.

The department will apply its normal standards in the evaluation of professional growth and honor the fact that engagement as a musician is relevant professional growth for this position.

The department will apply its normal standards in the evaluation of advising, in particular the advising of music education MAT candidates and undergraduate music education students who are considering the 4+1 music education pathway.

The department will apply its normal standards in the evaluation of service with the expectation that departmental service will be focused in the School of Music and that the Professor of Music Education will work to sustain the collaborative partnership between the School of Music and the School of Education.

Faculty members who participated in the process of developing this addendum include: Fred Hamel and Amy Ryken. Faculty members who reviewed this addendum include: Terry Beck, Mary Boer, Betsy Gast, Heidi Morton, Grace Kirchner, Molly Pugh.

### **Director of School of Music**

The following are evaluation criteria for the Director of the School of Music. They specifically outline the administrative duties of the position, which are in addition to requirements for teaching, scholarship, advising, university service and community service.

The Director is responsible for, or will delegate, the following activities, and ensure their completion at the criterion specified:

- Effectively managing the School of Music Strategic Plan—creating, implementing, updating
- Effectively setting the overall tone and ethos of the working and learning community in the School of Music
- Faculty evaluation and support: serving as head officer in tenure-line and Artist-in-Residence evaluations; evaluating visiting faculty annually; monitoring affiliate artist faculty student evaluations; collaborating with colleagues on their own professional development goals; faculty mentoring, all effectively and in a timely fashion.
- Organizing faculty meeting and retreat agendas and leading the meetings; attending campus chair meetings, effectively and in a timely fashion
- Staff supervision: supervising School of Music staff, effectively and in a timely fashion
- Fiscal management: creating annual budget; monitoring departmental accounts, composing reports and requests for renewal or funding changes, accurately, effectively and in a timely fashion
- Maintaining facility oversight: building safety, access, security, grounds, effectively and in a timely fashion
- Accreditation and curriculum review: effectively overseeing the NASM re-accreditation process (annual data report, cyclical self-study and visit) and managing the university curriculum review and university re-accreditation report in a timely fashion.
- Curriculum: hiring of regular faculty (with colleagues and Deans), planning adjunct coverage including hiring and orientation; scheduling courses, managing the implementation of and changes to the curriculum; ensuring quality control, all to a timely and effective degree
- Recruitment: effectively supervising the Music Admissions Coordinator; arranging attendance at in-state and out-of-state admissions fairs and information events in a timely fashion; effectively communicating with academic advisors at high schools about the discipline of music and about the School of Music at Puget Sound; effectively overseeing and keeping current recruitment materials including the web page, brochures and other mailings; communicating effectively with prospective students.
- Alumni Relations: communicating School of Music updates via newsletter; facilitation of connections among prospective students, current students and alumni; providing information to alumni who inquire, all effectively and in a timely fashion
- Attending national program director meetings and staying posted on developments (recruitment, curriculum, accreditation standards) in the field that will influence all of the above, communicating them effectively to colleagues and students
- Effectively overseeing outcome measurement for the program
- Effectively communicating with numerous parties throughout campus (such as the Dean of Students, Office of Academic Advising, etc.) as needed
- Effectively encouraging and supporting interdepartmental collaboration between the School of Music and other departments in order to foster the learning environment for students in the programs.

Note: The amount of time spent on each of these areas will depend on the demands of the academic year. For example, for years in which program re-accreditation occurs, more time will be dedicated to managing the strategic plan and accreditation review.

